



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

JW

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,920	07/25/2001	Toshio Asano	520.40381X00	9163
20457	7590	06/16/2004	EXAMINER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889			KIM, AHSHIK	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2876	

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/889,920	ASANO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Ahshik Kim	2876	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

**A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM  
 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.**

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/29/04 (Amendment).
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) Claim(s) 22-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 22-42 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                     | Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ .  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
|  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .                                  |

**DETAILED ACTION**

*Amendment*

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed on March 29, 2004. In the amendment  
5 claims 28 and 41 were amended. Currently, claims 22-42 remain for examination.

*Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all  
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

10 (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

15 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out  
20 the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

25 4. Claims 22-26 and 28-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brass et al. (US 6,177,678, hereinafter “Brass”) in view of Chappelle et al. (US 5,412,219, hereinafter Chappelle).

Brass teaches a non-destructive surface inspection method comprising steps of irradiating the surface with an ultraviolet light on a surface of a specimen on which a magnetic and/or fluorescent particle is applied (col. 1, lines 18+; col. 1, lines 64+). Brass fails to specifically teach or fairly suggest of capturing the image of the surface through camera, and displaying the 5 image on a screen. Brass is also silent about using a green (G) signal component of the image acquired by the camera.

Chappelle teaches a system and the method for determining surface characteristics (see abstract) wherein the image is captured through video camera or camera for image analysis (col. 1, lines 41+). The video camera is further equipped with filter (see abstract). Captured image is 10 analyzed by the color such as blue, green, red and near infrared (col. 4, lines 17+). The wavelength of distinct color such as such as blue, green, red and near infrared can be considered luminance. Since the image is analyzed by the computer, it can be inferred that the image is displayed on the monitor or appropriate displaying apparatus.

In view of Chappelle's teaching, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art 15 at the time the invention was made to employ well-known camera to the teachings of Brass in order to store image in semi-permanent manner. Captured image can be later analyzed for various purposes. Use of camera such as video or CCD type in surface analysis embodiment is generally known in the art. Therefore, such modification would have been an obvious expedient, well within the ordinary skill in the art.

20 5. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chappelle et al. (US 5,412,219) in view of Silva, deceased et al. (US 4,978,862, hereinafter "Silva").

The teachings of Chappelle have been discussed above. Chappelle fails to specifically teach or fairly suggest that the specimen is illuminated with polarized light.

Silva teaches a method and apparatus for non-destructively measuring surface of an article (see abstract) wherein the illumination system includes a polarizing filter 62 (see figure 5; 5 col. 11, lines 31-54).

In view of Silva's teaching, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ well-known optical filter as part of illumination system in order to correct the illumination beam to correct polarization. Polarization filter serves as a conduit collecting scatter beams and channel them into a target area. Use of polarization 10 filter in optical apparatus, again is a common knowledge to one ordinary skill in the art.

#### ***Response to Arguments***

6. Applicant's remarks in the amendment filed on March 29, 2004 are carefully considered. Considering Applicant's arguments and reviewing pending claims and previous Office Actions, 15 this Office Action is made non-final.

#### ***Conclusion***

I. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 20 disclosure: Motoyama (US 6,525,315); O'Connor et al. (US 3,774,030); Sandstrom et al. (US 5,494,829); Imaino et al. (US 5,969,370); Yamada et al. (US 6,603,126) disclose various non-destructive surface measuring apparatus. Applicant is respectfully suggested to carefully review these references.

II. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 25 examiner should be directed to *Ahshik Kim* whose telephone number is (571)272-2393. The

Art Unit: 2876

examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 6:00AM to 3:00PM Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee, can be reached on (571)272-2398. The fax number directly to the Examiner is (571)273-2393. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)872-9306.

5 Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [ahshik.kim@uspto.gov].

10 *All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.*

15 Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.



20 Ahshik Kim  
Patent Examiner  
Art Unit 2876  
June 10, 2004